## **NTP-CERHR**

## **Proposed Small Datasets Process**

Michael D. Shelby, Ph.D.
Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction

NTP Board of Scientific Counselors October 26, 2004





## **CERHR Output from June 1998 to September 2004**

- •14 Expert Panel Reports
- •12 NTP-CERHR Monographs (DEHP and Acrylamide Briefs pending in-house review)

## **Factors Considered for Chemical Selection**

- Public Concern
- Human Exposure
- •Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Data
- Production Volume

## **Chemical Nominations**

- •381 (9/04) reviewed by Core Committee
- •20 chemicals selected for expert panel evaluation
- •50 chemicals deferred
  - -some of public interest, but with too few data for an expert panel meeting

## **Examples of Large Datasets**

Acrylamide Expert Panel Report

Developmental Toxicology - 12 studies

(12 animal, 0 human)

Reproductive Toxicology - 18 studies

(18 animal, 0 human)

Fluoxetine Expert Panel Report

Developmental Toxicology - 46 studies

(20 animal, 26 human)

Reproductive Toxicology - 31 studies

(16 animal, 15 human)

## **Examples of Small Datasets (SDS):**

Dimethyl-methylphosphonate (DMMP)

Developmental Toxicology - 2 studies
(2 animal, 0 human)

Reproductive Toxicology - 6 studies
(6 animal, 0 human)

Di-ethylphthalate (DEP)

Developmental Toxicology - 3 studies (3 animal, 0 human) Reproductive Toxicology - 2 studies (2 animal, 0 human)

Parabens [methyl, ethyl, propyl, butyl]
Developmental Toxicology - 4 studies
(4 animal, 0 human)
Reproductive Toxicology - 7 studies
(8 animal, 0 human)

# Differences between SDS Process and Expert Panel Process

- Draft report written by CERHR
- Draft report reviewed by experts
- •No Public Meeting; 3 public comment periods rather than 4
- •No NTP Monograph or NTP Brief; NTP executive summary a part of the peer-reviewed report; public comments on the report appended to the final report

# Similarities between SDS Process and Expert Panel Process

- •Chemical nomination and selection process identical
- •Expert reviewers selected from CERHR Expert Registry
- •CERHR guidelines followed
- •Report format same as Expert Panel Report

## **Advantages of SDS Process**

- •Provide information on chemicals with high public interest and identify data needs
- •Increase the number of chemicals evaluated by CERHR
- •Fewer time constraints than an Expert Panel Evaluation

#### **Final Product**

- "NTP-CERHR Small Data Set Report on the Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity of \_\_\_\_\_"
  - NTP Executive Summary
  - Small Dataset Report
  - Public Comments on the Report